

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/766,678 | 01/23/2001 | Axel Ullrich | 038602-1082 | 4384 |
| 7590 12/19/2003 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Beth A. Burrous | | | SPECTOR, LORRAINE | |
| FOLEY & LARDNER | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Washington Harbour 3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 500 | | | 1647 | TAFER NOMBER |
| Washington, DC 20007-5109 | | | DATE MAILED: 12/19/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/766,678 | ULLRICH ET AL. | | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Lorraine Spector, Ph.D. | 1647 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control | ation. A proper reply to a | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailinb) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anoevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>11 December 2003</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of | vithin the period set forth in fithe appeal. | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE: | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): rejections under 35 USC | §112, second paragraph. | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | parate, timely filed amendment | | | | |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo | (s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided below | ⊠ will be entered and an w or appended. | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>43 and 49-51</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>36-42, 47, 48</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| .☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | · | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | |
| | | Laning Kit | | | | |
| | / | Lorraine Spector, Ph.D. | | | | |
| | | Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1647 | | | | |



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: compound has expected properties. Motivation to combine references does not have to be the same as that of applicants..